

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,014	NAIR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Erich Veitenheimer's assistant.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 October 2005
**Time:** 12:30 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called to inquire whether a response to the Office Action of 22 April 2005 had been filed. Mr. Veitenheimer's assistant indicated that the case was abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)